

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/464,167	SUZUKI, HIDETO
Examiner	Art Unit	
Guillermo Munoz	2634	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Guillermo Munoz. (3) _____.

(2) Ian R. Blum. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____ **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:
 Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
 1, 3, and 5

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner questioned where in claims 1, 3, and 5 the limitation "interference canceller/demodulator unit" was explicitly recited, as argued by applicant on page 10, line 15 of amendment filed on December 19, 2003 (Office Paper number.14).

Applicant interpreted the phrase "DS-CDMA (Direct Sequence-Code Division Multiple Access) multi-user interference canceller" in preamble of claim 1, lines 1-2 and the phrase "the gain controller controlling gains of the variable gain amplifier prior to baseband decoding of the reception signals" in claim 1, lines 9-10 to explicitly recite the limitation "interference canceller/demodulator unit" in claim 1.

Applicant interpreted the phrase "DS-CDMA multi-user interference canceller" in preamble of claim 3, lines 1-2 and the phrase "preliminary demodulation section" in claim 3, line 4 to explicitly recite the limitation "interference canceller/demodulator unit" in claim 3.

Applicant interpreted the phrase "method for canceling interference waves" in preamble of claim 5, line 1 and the phrase "plurality of demodulated signals" in claim 5, line 2 to explicitly recite the limitation "interference canceller/demodulator unit" in claim 5.